



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,548	02/15/2001	Tomoshige Umeda	202820US3	9370
22850	7590 11/18/2002			
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PC			EXAMINER	
FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON, VA 22202		Y	BASICHAS, ALFRED	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{O}_{i}	"
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
		09/783,548	UMEDA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
······································		Alfred Basichas	3743	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
THE M/ - Extension - Extension - If the period - If NO period - Failure to - Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 (</u>	October 2002		
<i>'</i> _		is action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 💲	Since this application is in condition for allowards of the condition for all the condition for all the condition for all the condition for all the conditions of the conditions	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
Disposition	of Claims	Ex parte Quayre, 1999 O.D. 11, 4	00 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,7 and 10</u> is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1,3-6,8,9 and 11-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 C	laim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ C Application	laim(s) <u>2,7 and 10</u> are subject to restriction and Papers	nd/or election requirement.		
	e specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.		
	e drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2001</u> is/are	·	by the Examiner	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·	
	e proposed drawing correction filed on		` *	
	f approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		•	
12) 🔲 Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🛛 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
	the attached detailed Office action for a list of	·		
_	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic] The translation of the foreign language pro		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
15) 🗌 Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •		
Attachment(s)			·	
2) 🔲 Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	İ
6	00			

Application/Control Number: 09/783,548 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species XI in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that searching of all of the species would not constitute significant additional burden on the Office. This is not found persuasive because examination of all of the species would constitute significant additional burden on the Examiner. Nevertheless, further review of the various species contained in the present application has resulted in the grouping of Species X and XI together, as they are not patentably distinct. Accordingly, both of the aforementioned species will be examined.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 2, 7, and 10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, with independent claim 1 being generic. Applicant timely traversed the election requirement in Paper No. 5.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/783,548

Art Unit: 3743

Page 3

Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the terms

JIS Z0208 and JIS P8117, which are not defined in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daneshvar (5,205,282), which shows a mask including all of the claimed limitations. Daneshvar shows a mask (figs. 1-3) including a heat/steam generating unit 24, temperature buffer 59 (col. 3, lines 16-24) and inhalation/exhalation valves (figs. 3, 10, and 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3743

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 4, 5, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daneshvar (5,205,282) in view of Potter (4,917,119). Daneshvar discloses substantially all of the claimed limitations, as discussed above, except for the heat-generating unit being by exothermic chemical reaction having salt water and metal, and including a drug. Potter teaches an apparatus including heat generating unit being by

Application/Control Number: 09/783,548

Art Unit: 3743

Page 5

exothermic chemical reaction (col. 2, lines 3-32) having salt water and metal, and including a drug (abstract) in order to provide an effective and efficient drug delivery system. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated Potter's teaching of an apparatus including exothermic chemical reaction having salt water and metal, and including a drug into the invention disclosed by Daneshvar, so as to provide for an effective and efficient drug delivery system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 703 306 3476. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 703 308 0101. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9302 for regular communications and 703 872 9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0861.

November 12, 2002

Affred Basichas 703 306 3476